



*Brain Sci.* 2018 Aug; 8(8): 150.

Published online 2018 Aug 9. doi: [10.3390/brainsci8080150](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8080150)

PMCID: PMC6120051

PMID: [30096948](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30096948/)

## Disentangling the Neural Basis of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy in Psychiatric Disorders: A Focus on Depression

Moussa A. Chalah<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Samar S. Ayache<sup>1,2,3</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Major depressive disorder (MDD) stands among the most frequent psychiatric disorders. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) has been shown to be effective for treating depression, yet its neural mechanisms of action are not well elucidated. The objective of this work is to assess the available neuroimaging studies exploring CBT's effects in adult patients with MDD. **Methods:** Computerized databases were consulted till April 2018 and a research was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines in order to identify original research articles published at any time in English and French languages on this topic.

**Results:** Seventeen studies were identified. Only one study was randomized comparing CBT to pharmacological interventions, and none included an effective control. Following CBT, changes occurred in cerebral areas that are part of the fronto-limbic system, namely the cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex and amygdala-hippocampal complex. However, the pattern of activation and connectivity in these areas varied across the studies. **Conclusion:** A considerable heterogeneity exists with regard to study design, adapted CBT type and intensity, and employed neuroimaging paradigms, all of which may partly explain the difference in studies' outcomes. The lack of randomization and effective controls in most of them makes it difficult to draw formal conclusion whether the observed effects are CBT mediated or due to spontaneous recovery. Despite the observed inconsistencies and dearth of data, CBT appears to exert its anti-depressant effects mainly by modulating the function of affective and cognitive networks devoted to emotions generation and control, respectively. This concept remains to be validated in large scale randomized controlled trials.

**Keywords:** depression, MDD, neuroimaging, MRI, cognitive behavioral therapy, CBT, cognitive therapy, behavioral therapy

### 1. Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) stands among the most prevalent psychiatric disorders and accounts for a considerable part of mental health disease burden in Western countries [1]. MDD has an estimated lifetime prevalence of 17% [2] and is characterized by an early onset (i.e., adolescence and young adulthood), chronicity and recurrence, higher frequency in women, and a drastic impact on quality of life, with impairment in social and occupational domains [3].

 Feedback

Through the past three decades, Beck has suggested a cognitive model for MDD [4,5,6,7]. In this model, prepotent maladaptive schematic representations of the self, world and future, may lead to preferential processing for schema-congruent negative self-referential information resulting in a dominance of negative thoughts, images and interpretations within the stream of consciousness that might interfere with processing of more positive, schema-incongruent information [4,5,6]. Therefore, de-activating the disorder-related maladaptive schemas and strengthening access to more reflective, realistic and adaptive modes of thought and behavior constitute the bases of the psychotherapeutic approach for MDD developed by Beck and colleagues [7].

Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is a type of psychotherapy that works on cognitions and behaviors by applying several sessions over weeks in individual or group format. CBT educates individuals about how one's thoughts and behaviors affect the perceived symptom experience [8]. It trains them to acquire coping skills aiming to modify thoughts and behaviors, and subsequently to consolidate and maintain these skills through structured practice and feedback in order to prevent symptom relapse [8].

In the context of MDD, CBT seems to be as effective as pharmacological therapies but its effects last longer [9,10,11]. Over the last decades, the advances in neuroimaging techniques made it possible to unveil several cerebral hubs that constitute neural underpinning of several neuropsychiatric diseases including MDD. A thorough understanding of CBT underlying mechanisms of action may help optimizing patients' care and improving their quality of life. This may also help determining predictors for treatment effectiveness in this population by providing a priori estimation of outcomes and hence offering the possibility to individualize therapies.

The aim of the current work is to address CBT biological mechanisms of action in adult patients with MDD. The following section states the adapted selection criteria. This would be followed by a brief reappraisal of the neural substrates of depression. Afterwards, studies investing neural underpinnings of CBT in MDD are reviewed. Finally, a summary of the available data is provided, and some suggestions are proposed aiming to pave the way for future large-scale studies that would be helpful to increase the current understanding of this area.

## 2. Selection Criteria

Computerized databases (MEDLINE/PubMed and Scopus) were consulted, and a search was conducted independently by both coauthors according to PRISMA guidelines [12] in order to identify original research articles published at any time until 21 May 2018 in English and French languages regarding CBT mechanisms of action in MDD. Only studies that considered CBT mechanisms of action as the primary outcome and included imaging before and after CBT were considered for this review. The following key terms were used: ('cognitive behavioral therapy' OR 'CBT' OR 'cognitive therapy' OR 'CT' OR 'behavioral therapy' OR 'BT' OR 'psychotherapy') AND ('depression' OR 'major depressive disorder' OR 'MDD' OR 'mood disorder' OR 'mood') AND ('mechanisms of action' OR 'underlying mechanisms' OR 'magnetic resonance imaging' OR 'MRI' OR 'fMRI' OR 'positron emission tomography' OR 'PET' OR 'single photon emission computed tomography' OR 'SPECT' or 'spectroscopy'). The eligibility and possible inclusion of each article was assessed by both authors who screened the titles, abstracts and full texts of



all references retrieved in the searches based on the predetermined selection criteria. In cases of uncertainty, both authors checked the full text of the manuscript in question to assess its eligibility, and a final decision was made regarding inclusion/exclusion.

We only included studies that have evaluated neurobiological changes due to CBT through neuroimaging techniques in adult patients with MDD. Studies that included populations others than MDD, or assessed patients other than adults (pediatric, adolescent or geriatric), or did not include post-CBT imaging, or used other types of psychotherapies, were not considered.

### 3. A Brief Overview of the Depressed Brain

---

Current evidence supports the involvement of several cerebral regions in the pathophysiology of depression, and in the generation of various symptoms observed in patients with MDD. The cognitive control of emotion appears to involve a network, known as the dorsal network. It includes several higher-order cortical areas such as the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and prefrontal cortex (PFC), which also participate in higher-order cognitive functions such as working memory, reasoning, problem solving, and self-referential processing [13,14,15,16,17]. These are considered as ‘emotionally cold areas’ and are thought to underlie the cognitive deficits encountered in MDD [18]. In fact, individuals suffering from depressive disorders were found to have decreased activation in some areas of this network.

In addition, some studies have documented an increased regional activation or connectivity within a ventral limbic affective network that includes the amygdala and hippocampus (considered as ‘emotionally hot areas’ underlying the generation of negative thoughts) during emotion/cognitive processing. Such a finding may explain the generation of dysphoria (excessive negative mood) in depressed patients [13,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26].

Based on these data and the abovementioned model of MDD, depression seems to entail a selective enhancement of automatic processes resulting from sustained activation of schemas and/or a selective impairment of cognitive inhibitory control over these negative contents [1,27]. Therefore, one could hypothesize that CBT may act by decreasing the activity in the amygdala-hippocampal complex (AHC) (involved in bottom-up emotion processes) and/or improving the activity of cortical areas (i.e., PFC, OFC and ACC) involved in (top-down) cognitive control of emotions [1,13,21,22]. Here, it is worth noting that, although there is a kind of agreement on the role of PFC/OFC in top-down control and the involvement of AHC in bottom-up processes, some reports suggest that ACC activity be more complex than that of AHC and PFC [1]. ACC seems to be involved in both top-down and bottom-up processing and appears to play the role of an amplifier and filter of emotional and self-referential information via its connections downward to subcortical structures (e.g., thalamus) and upward to cortical structures (e.g., PFC) [28,29].

Beside the dorsal cognitive and ventral affective networks, the default mode network (DMN) appears to play a role in MDD. Its main nodes are the medial PFC, ACC, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), precuneus, inferior parietal cortex, and lateral temporal cortex [30]. This network exhibits high metabolic activity during internally focused tasks, including meditation, and demonstrates deactivation during performance of cognitive tasks where the attention is directed toward the external environment [18,30]. An abnormal enhancement (or a reduced deactivation) in this network’s connectivity was observed in depressed patients during the performance of goal-directed tasks and appears to be associated with depressive rumination, the



process of passive and repetitive thinking about one's negative feelings, possible causes and consequences [18,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40]. Based on these findings, using CBT or its variants (i.e., mindfulness based cognitive therapy (MBCT) which combines CBT elements with meditation) might be able to modulate the activity of DMN and result in clinical improvement [30].

A fourth network seems also to be implicated in the generation of some symptoms of MDD. It is the fronto-striatal network which is responsible for reward processing; a processing that consists of different temporal phases (i.e., selection, anticipation and feedback) during which the individual may differentially engage different components of the devoted network [18,41]. In this network, the medial PFC seems to exert a top-down control over the reward promoting areas such as the ventral tegmental area, nucleus accumbens, and ventral pallidum, whereas the lateral habenula seems to constitute the aversive center involved in encoding negative valence [41]. A hypoconnectivity in the fronto-striatal reward circuitry and/or a hyperactivity in the aversive center might account for anhedonia (i.e., loss of interest, motivation, and pleasure) [18,41]. In this perspective, using CBT or its components (i.e., behavioral activation) might be of help in targeting the reward circuitry and acting on behavioral MDD symptoms.

## 4. Underlying Mechanisms of CBT in Depression: Neuroimaging Data Results

Seventeen studies were identified. Three of them applied CBT variants such as MBCT ( $n = 1$  study) and behavioral activation therapy for depression (BATD;  $n = 2$  studies). The imaging techniques were functional MRI (fMRI) in eleven studies ( $n = 1$  resting-functional connectivity and  $n = 10$  during task performance), proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy ( $^1\text{H}$ -MRS) in two studies, positron emission tomography (PET) in three studies, and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) in one study. Only one study was randomized comparing CBT to pharmacological interventions, and none included an effective placebo control (waiting-list or no-treatment control). Studies are summarized in [Table A1](#).

### 4.1. MRI Studies

#### 4.1.1. fMRI Studies

*Pattern of Regional Activity* Most of the available fMRI studies were in favor of CBT's effects on the abovementioned top-down and bottom-up processes involved in cognitive control and generation of emotions, respectively. In one of the earliest studies, Fu and colleagues employed a task aiming to assess implicit processing of sad faces in MDD patients receiving CBT (16 sessions) compared to a control group of healthy subjects [42]. Patients exhibited higher baseline activity within the right AHC than controls. Following CBT, patients exhibited a decrease in right AHC activity; and an increase in ACC extending to the superior frontal gyrus, PCC, inferior parietal cortex, and precuneus. Consistent with this study, Ritchley and colleagues assessed the effects of ~20 CBT sessions in MDD patients compared to an untreated group of healthy controls [43]. Both groups underwent baseline and follow-up fMRI during the performance of a task that assesses neural responses to positive, negative, and neutral pictures. At baseline, compared to controls, patients exhibited a reduced activity in the ventromedial PFC, decreased discrimination between emotional and neutral stimuli within the amygdala, and a negativity bias in valence-related activity within the anterior temporal lobe, all of which were reversed following CBT and were paralleled with symptom improvement.



Same results were also reported by several authors in prefrontal and/or limbic areas. Concerning prefrontal regions, Yoshimura and colleagues studied the effects of 12 CBT sessions in MDD patients compared to an untreated healthy control group by employing fMRI during the performance of a self-referential task using emotional words at baseline and follow-up [44]. Following CBT, patients displayed an increase in the activity of ventral ACC and medial PFC when patients considered that positive words described them. However, in the same study, a post-CBT decrease in the activity of the aforementioned area was observed when patients considered that negative words described them, highlighting the contribution of task choice to study outcome [44]. Yang and colleagues adapted fMRI during a cognitive control task, and documented CBT related improvement paralleled by an increase in regional activities related to cognitive control of emotions (i.e., dorsal ACC, dorsolateral and ventrolateral PFC) [45].

As for the limbic activity, Sankar and colleagues enrolled MDD patients treated with CBT (16 sessions) and healthy controls and applied a fMRI paradigm during statements attribution derived from a modified Dysfunctional Attitudes Scale [46]. Post-CBT, patients were found to have a decrease in the activity of left parahippocampal gyrus, the activity of which was reported to be increased in depression [47,48]. This might reflect a CBT-related improvement in dysfunctional thinking. In the same study, the authors documented a decrease in the activity of the PCC, a key component of the DMN [30].

Of interest, the abovementioned results observed post-CBT are consistent with those obtained following pharmacological interventions for depression, which documented an increase in the activity of prefrontal areas (i.e., dorsolateral, dorsomedial and ventrolateral PFC) and a decrease in the activity of some limbic regions (e.g., AHC) following pharmacological interventions in depression patients [49]. However, ACC activity seems to increase following CBT [42,44,45] and decrease following antidepressant medications [49], suggesting treatment-related specific effects over this cerebral area.

Conversely, two studies obtained opposite fMRI changes following psychotherapeutic interventions. In the first one, Rubin-Falcone and colleagues assessed fMRI changes in CBT-treated patients (14 sessions) and healthy controls during the performance of a task consisting of a voluntary emotion regulation strategy while recalling negative autobiographical memories. The observed clinical improvement was associated with a decrease in the activity of the medial PFC cortex and subgenual ACC [50]. In the second study, Dichter and colleagues assessed the biological mechanisms of BATD, a therapy that focuses on the behavioral activation component of CBT and entails reducing avoidance behaviors and increasing engagement with potentially rewarding behaviors [51]. In line with Rubin-Falcone and colleagues' results, BATD led to a decrease in prefrontal activity (including the paracingulate gyrus, right OFC, and right frontal pole) during the processing of cognitive control stimuli presented within a sad context [51]. Although inconsistent with previous findings, the results of both studies could be partly attributed to the choice of stimuli (i.e., negative in this study) as seen previously in Yoshimura and colleagues' study where, in the same cohort, post-CBT decrease and increase in prefrontal activities were observed in response to negative and positive stimuli, respectively [44]. It is noteworthy that Dichter and colleagues also employed fMRI during reward processing and reported BATD related functional improvement in areas that are part of the reward circuit during reward selection (i.e., the paracingulate cortex), reward anticipation (i.e., the dorsal striatum), and reward feedback (the paracingulate cortex and OFC) [52].



A third group of authors, like Siegle and colleagues, failed to document fMRI changes following CBT [53]. These researchers employed fMRI during a task sensitive to sustained emotional information processing (i.e., self-ratings of emotionally valanced words) in a group of MDD patients treated with CBT (16–20 sessions) and untreated healthy controls. They focused on the baseline activity within the subgenual ACC based on previous studies that suggested this area as a modulator (inhibitor) of emotions via its connections with limbic regions (i.e., amygdala) [54,55,56]. Although higher treatment effectiveness was observed among participants who had lower activity in the subgenual ACC prior to CBT which support previous findings, no significant changes in the activity of this structure were observed following CBT. The lack of such effects might be explained by methodological limitations (i.e., potential index's low reliability), but may also imply that post-CBT clinical improvement was unrelated to changes in subgenual ACC activity. Another issue would be the lack of sufficient sociodemographic and clinical information limiting the possibility to compare the results with those obtained in other studies.

**Functional Connectivity** Other research teams adapted different imaging modalities to understand CBT's effects. In a first study, Shou and colleagues assessed the resting-state functional amygdalo-cortical connectivity in CBT-treated patients (12 sessions) and controls [57], based on their previous study that documented hypoconnectivity between these regions in patients with MDD [58]. Following CBT, patients exhibited an enhancement in resting-state functional connectivity between the amygdala and frontal regions that are believed to exert cognitive control. Again, findings of this study support the hypothesis that CBT may increase top-down cognitive control exerted by 'emotionally cold' areas (i.e., neocortex) over 'emotionally hot' areas (limbic regions).

Furthermore, Yoshimura and colleagues have focused on functional connectivity between medial PFC and ACC (during self-referential judgment of negative adjectives) which was high at baseline and decreased following CBT [59]. Medial PFC and ACC are components of the DMN which was previously found to exhibit a hyperconnectivity pattern among its components in patients with MDD, possibly reflecting aberrant cognitive and affective processing and dysfunctional self-focus [37,60]. Therefore, CBT may have exerted its effects by breaking the increased coupling between medial PFC and ACC. However, these results should be interpreted with caution admitting the major limitations of this study, namely the inadequate control group and the fact that patients were receiving concomitant pharmacological therapy.

**4.1.2. MRS Studies** Sanacora and colleagues assessed CBT (12 sessions) mechanisms using <sup>1</sup>H-MRS in an uncontrolled study involving eight patients with MDD [61]. No significant changes (a trend toward a decrease) were observed with regards to resting state occipital cortex gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) following CBT. Here, it is important to note that <sup>1</sup>H-MRS studies have shown an increase in GABA following treatment with selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) [62,63]. Therefore, CBT may have different mechanisms of action than those seen with pharmacological and ECT interventions. The absence of effects in this study might be also related to the small sample size and needs to be further explored.

In a second study, Li and colleagues, authors applied an eight-week MBCT protocol (8 weekly sessions and 6 days/week homework), in a group of depressed patients compared to an untreated healthy control group [64]. Following CBT, an increase in the N-acetyl aspartate/total creatine (NAA/tCr) ratio—a marker of neuronal function- in the left ACC was observed and was significantly associated with clinical improvement. MBCT seems to enhance the function of ACC, a region involved in cognitive, affective and default



mode networks that were reported to be dysfunctional in the context of MDD [18]. Such a finding is in line with pharmacological studies documenting NAA increase within the ACC following antidepressant medications in patients with MDD [65].

#### 4.2. PET Studies

Results of PET studies derive from the same team, are inconsistent with the above seen results and partly support the abovementioned data linking CBT with the cognitive model of depression. In the first (nonrandomized) one, Goldapple and colleagues employed resting-state fluorine-18 labeled deoxy-glucose PET in patients with MDD and treated with either CBT ( $\geq 12$  sessions) or antidepressant medications (i.e., paroxetine) [66]. In the second trial, patients were randomized to receive either CBT (15–20 sessions) or antidepressant medications (i.e., venlafaxine) and underwent similar PET scan evaluations [67]. As is seen in most fMRI studies, a significant increase in ACC activity was noted following CBT. However, unlike the majority of fMRI studies (except [50,51]), PET scan studies revealed a decreased metabolism in frontal regions [66,67] and increased activity in limbic regions (i.e., hippocampus [66]) following CBT. Although these findings are intriguing and may not support the cognitive model discussed earlier, several factors could have contributed to their occurrence. First, these results could be interpreted as a treatment-related decrease of ruminations and maladaptive associative memories. Second, the discrepancy between these outcomes and those observed with fMRI could be due to the differences in the measured outcomes of each technique (fMRI vs. PET), but also to the type of control chosen, that consisted of untreated healthy controls in fMRI studies, and of depressed patients pharmacologically-treated in above-cited PET studies. Interestingly, although both treatments (CBT vs. pharmacological intervention) yielded comparable clinical outcomes and some common changes on PET scan [67], they resulted in overlapping, yet opposing, changes in cerebral areas such as the ACC, hinting toward different underlying mechanisms of actions of these therapies in some brain regions [66,67].

It is worth noting that, in both studies, in addition to CBT effects on the cognitive and affective networks, the authors observed a decrease in the metabolic activity of the inferior parietal cortex [66] and PCC [66,67], both of which are components of the DMN that displays enhanced connectivity in the context of MDD. Therefore, CBT might have acted by deactivating this hyperfunctioning network.

In a third study which was based on the serotonin hypothesis of depression, the authors employed a pharmacologically-based PET scanning (using 5-hydroxytryptamine 1B (5-HT1B), an autoreceptor selective ligand) [68]. Following internet-based CBT (ten modules over 10–12 weeks, open-label design, no control group), Tiger and colleagues reported a significant reduction of radioligand binding potential in the dorsal brain stem (DBS) which accounts for around 85% of all serotonergic neurons in the brain [69]. This could be interpreted as a downregulation of 5-HT1B receptor messenger RNA, which has been previously observed in rodents chronically treated with antidepressant medications [70]. Such a downregulation may remove the inhibition on DBS serotonergic neurons and therefore induce an increase in serotonin release from its projections in mood-related brain areas (i.e., prefrontal and limbic regions) [69,71]. However, given the absence of correlation between the PET reported changes and clinical improvement and in the absence of a control group, results should be interpreted with caution. It might be better to consider these PET changes as parallel findings rather than mediators of the clinical response.

#### 4.3. SPECT Studies



Amsterdam and colleagues performed a nonrandomized single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) to assess serotonin transporter (SERT) binding capacity [72]. The authors employed a radiopharmaceutical, the 2-((2-((Dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)thio)-5-(123)I-iodophenylamine ((123)I-ADAM), which selectively binds to serotonin transporters in the central nervous system [73]. The authors compared a group of depressed patients treated with CBT (16 sessions over twelve weeks) to a group of untreated healthy controls. Patients were found to have low SERT standardized uptake ratios at baseline as seen in some but not all studies assessing depression [74,75,76,77,78]. This baseline result could reflect low brain serotonin levels, a finding that seems to improve in the midbrain, right and left medial temporal lobes following CBT.

## 5. Discussion

---

Although only few data are available on the biological effects of CBT in patients with depression, there is some evidence regarding CBT-effects on activity or metabolism in specific cortical and subcortical areas, mainly in prefrontal regions, cingulate regions, amygdala and hippocampus (fMRI and PET scan data). However, the direction of changes varied across the studies as will be discussed in this section. Other neuroimaging studies (MRS, SPECT) have suggested a modulation of serotonergic transmission [68,72] or an improvement in neuronal function [65] following CBT.

The main issue that challenges formal comparisons of findings across the studies is the heterogeneity in the cohorts, type of psychotherapy, protocol designs and employed techniques.

To start, the mean age ranged from 30 to 47.8 years [64,68], and female proportion ranged from 30.4 to 87.5% [44,45,59]. Some studies assessed CBT's impact in patients with first acute depressive episodes, while others investigated the effects of this approach in cohorts with recurrent chronic illness. The majority of trials included patients with moderate depressive symptoms, while two studies included patients with mild depressive symptoms [44,59]. Depression assessment tools varied as well and consisted of the Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS), Beck depression inventory (BDI) and Montgomery Asberg depression rating scale (MADRS) [79,80,81]. In the majority of studies, patients were drug free at the time of intervention, except in two studies where patients were drug-resistant, treated with one or more antidepressant drugs for a minimum of eight weeks prior to inclusion and continued to receive medications during the study period [44,59].

Second, the protocols also differed in the type of therapy (methodological differences between conventional CBT, MBCT and BATD [82,83,84]), the duration of sessions (ranging from 8 sessions in the MBCT protocol [64] and up to 35 sessions in some CBT protocols [43]), the application milieu (individual versus group therapy), and the mode of delivery (single therapist versus multiple therapists versus internet-based intervention).

Third, regarding protocol design, an ideal study would take the form of a randomized controlled trial that would include several treatment arms: depressed patients receiving CBT, depressed patients receiving active nonpharmacological control intervention (non-CBT therapy), depressed patients receiving antidepressant medications, untreated healthy controls, and healthy controls receiving CBT. However, such a design is very challenging and would raise ethical concerns that would prohibit or discourage including untreated group of patients with acute symptoms. Most of the available studies were nonrandomized or open label



trials, with or without a control group of untreated healthy controls. Only one of them was randomized [67] and only two included a treatment control arm which consisted of antidepressant medications [66,67]. Future studies would benefit from implementing an effective control arm to be able to judge whether the observed changes are true CBT's effects or result from sympathetic patients' care or spontaneous recovery.

Fourth, the available studies differed in the neuroimaging paradigms; mainly, the employed imaging modalities, the selected regions of interest and the choice of cognitive/emotional task adapted during imaging [85]. For instance, regarding the adopted technique, while fluorine-18 labelled deoxy-glucose and 5-HT1B auto-receptor selective radioligand based PET scans work by measuring glucose metabolism or serotonin distribution respectively, fMRI measures deoxyhemoglobin concentration. In addition, admitting that the employed techniques measure different physiological parameters, and differ in spatial and temporal resolutions, findings could considerably differ among the studies. Moreover, using the same technique (i.e., fMRI), some studies have focused on measuring changes in resting state functional connectivity of brain networks while others have examined changes in task-dependent brain activity pattern post CBT. Furthermore, tasks also varied across studies and might account for the discrepancy in the observed changes. For instance, following CBT, ACC activity (i) decreased during processing of negative stimuli (i.e., negative autobiographical memories or self-referential processing of negative stimuli) [44,50], (ii) increased when acquiring PET scan at rest [66,67] or fMRI during facial emotion processing (i.e., sad faces) [32], self-referential processing of positive stimuli [44], or cognitive control of emotions [45]; (iii) or remained unchanged when adapting a task consisting of self-rating emotionally valanced words [53]. Similar findings were obtained in other brain areas such as the PFC, the activity of which was found to be (i) decreased when adapting resting state PET scan [66,67], or when employing fMRI during the processing of negative stimuli (self-referential processing of negative stimuli or processing of negative autobiographical memories [44,50]); and (ii) increased during when responding to emotional and neutral pictures [43], during self-referential processing of positive stimuli [44], or when engaging in a cognitive control task [45]. The same applies to the AHC activity which was found to be (i) increased (hippocampus) during resting state PET scan [66] or (ii) decreased when adapting fMRI during an affect processing task [42].

## 6. Conclusions

---

Despite the above-described incongruence in the activity pattern, the identified regions appear to play a key role in the fronto-limbic system involved in emotional experience and control. This suggests that CBT-modulatory effects lie within the previously mentioned dorsal cognitive and ventral limbic networks. Very few data also support CBT effects over DMN [59] or the reward circuit [52]. However, facing the dearth of the available data, future randomized controlled trials are highly needed in order to draw formal conclusion on CBT mechanisms of action in large MDD cohorts.

## Appendix A

---



**Table A1**

Neuroimaging studies assessing cerebral changes following cognitive behavioral therapy.



Study	Patients Age	Patients Sex	Symptom Severity	Antidepressant Medications	Imaging Technique	Psychotherapy Protocol	Control Group
<b>PET scan and related imaging techniques</b>							
Goldapple et al., 2004 [66]	41.0 ± 9.0	11F/6M (64.7% F)	Baseline HDRS scores: 20.0 ± 3.0 (Moderate depression)	Drug free ( <i>n</i> = 6 drug naïve; <i>n</i> = 1 patient required)	Fluorine-18-labeled deoxyglucose PET scan at rest	activation -Cognitive monitoring -Patients' testing of their interpretations and beliefs (behavioral experiments) -Patients' using of thoughts records	13 paroxetine treated patients



ACC: Anterior cingulate cortex; BATD: Behavioral activation therapy for depression; BDI: Beck depression inventory; CBT: Cognitive behavioral therapy; ECT: Electroconvulsive therapy; F: Females; fMRI: Functional MRI; HCs: healthy controls; HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score; M: Males; MADRS: Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale; MBCT: Mindfulness based cognitive therapy; MRS: magnetic resonance spectroscopy; N/A: Not applicable; OFC: orbitofrontal cortex; PET: Positron emission tomography; PFC: Prefrontal cortex; SERT: Serotonin transporter; SPECT: Single photon emission computed tomography. All values are displayed as mean ± SD unless indicated differently.

## Funding

This research received no external funding.

## Conflicts of Interest

S.S.A. declares having received travel grants or compensation from Genzyme, Biogen, Novartis and Roche. M.A.C. declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Clark D.A., Beck A.T. Cognitive theory and therapy of anxiety and depression: Convergence with neurobiological findings. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2010;14:418–424. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.06.007. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Kessler R.C., Berglund P., Demler O., Jin R., Merikangas K.R., Walters E.E. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 2005;62:593–602. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Kessler R.C., Wang P.S. Epidemiology of depression. In: Gotlib I.H., Hammen C.L., editors. *Handbook of Depression*. 2nd ed. Guilford Press; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 5–22. [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. Beck A.T. *Depression: Causes and Treatment*. University of Pennsylvania Press; Philadelphia, PA, USA: 1967. [[Google Scholar](#)]
5. Beck A.T. Cognitive models of depression. *J. Cogn. Psychother.* 1987;1:5–37. [[Google Scholar](#)]
6. Beck A.T. The evolution of the cognitive model of depression and its neurobiological correlates. *Am. J. Psychiatry.* 2008;165:969–977. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08050721. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Beck A.T., Rush A.J., Shaw B.F., Emery G. *Cognitive Therapy of Depression*. Guilford Press; New York, NY, USA: 1979. [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Hofmann S.G., Asnaani A., Vonk I.J., Sawyer A.T., Fang A. The Efficacy of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy: A Review of Meta-analyses. *Cogn. Ther. Res.* 2012;36:427–440. doi: 10.1007/s10608-012-9476-1. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
9. Hollon S.D., DeRubeis R.J., Fawcett J., Amsterdam J.D., Shelton R.C., Zajecka J., Young P.R., Gallop R. Effect of cognitive therapy with antidepressant medications vs. antidepressants alone on the rate of recovery in major depressive disorder: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2014;71:1157–1164. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.1054. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Retracted](#)]
10. McMain S., Newman M.G., Segal Z.V., DeRubeis R.J. Cognitive behavioral therapy: Current status and future research directions. *Psychother. Res.* 2015;25:321–329. doi: 10.1080/10503307.2014.1002440. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Weitz E.S., Hollon S.D., Twisk J., van Straten A., Huibers M.J., David D., DeRubeis R.J., Dimidjian S., Dunlop B.W., Cristea I.A., et al. Baseline depression severity as moderator of depression outcomes between cognitive behavioral therapy vs. pharmacotherapy: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2015;72:1102–1109. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.1516. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]



12. Moher D., Liberati A., Tetzlaff J., Altman D.G., Group PRISMA Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: The PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2535. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2535. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
13. Ochsner K.N., Gross J.J. The cognitive control of emotion. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2005;9:242–249. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
14. Wyland C.L., Kelley W.M., Macrae C.N., Gordon H.L., Heatherton T.F. Neural correlates of thought suppression. *Neuropsychologia*. 2003;41:1863–1867. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2003.08.001. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
15. Mitchell J.P., Heatherton T.F., Kelley W.M., Wyland C.L., Wegner D.M., Neil Macrae C. Separating sustained from transient aspects of cognitive control during thought suppression. *Psychol. Sci.* 2007;18:292–297. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01891.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
16. Duncan J., Owen A.M. Common regions of the human frontal lobe recruited by diverse cognitive demands. *Trends Neurosci.* 2000;23:475–483. doi: 10.1016/S0166-2236(00)01633-7. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
17. Calbeza R., Nyberg L. Imaging cognition II: An empirical review of 275 PET and fMRI studies. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2000;12:1–47. doi: 10.1162/08989290051137585. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
18. Li B.J., Friston K., Mody M., Wang H.N., Lu H.B., Hu D.W. A brain network model for depression: From symptom understanding to disease intervention. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* 2018 doi: 10.1111/cns.12998. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
19. Schaefer S.M., Jackson D.C., Davidson R.J., Aguirre G.K., Kimberg D.Y., Thompson-Schill S.L. Modulation of amygdalar activity by the conscious regulation of negative emotion. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2002;14:913–921. doi: 10.1162/089892902760191135. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
20. Fales C.L., Barch D.M., Rundle M.M., Mintun M.A., Snyder A.Z., Cohen J.D., Mathews J., Sheline Y.I. Altered emotional interference processing in affective and cognitive-control brain circuitry in major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2008;63:377–384. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.06.012. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
21. Ressler K.J., Mayberg H.S. Targeting abnormal neural circuits in mood and anxiety disorders: From the laboratory to the clinic. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2007;10:1116–1124. doi: 10.1038/nn1944. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
22. Kumari V. Do psychotherapies produce neurobiological effects? *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* 2006;18:61–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5215.2006.00127.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
23. Johnstone T., van Reekum C.M., Urry H.L., Kalin N.H., Davidson R.J. Failure to regulate: Counterproductive recruitment of top-down prefrontal-subcortical circuitry in major depression. *J. Neurosci.* 2007;27:8877–8884. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2063-07.2007. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
24. Sheline Y.I., Barch D.M., Donnelly J.M., Ollinger J.M., Snyder A.Z., Mintun M.A. Increased amygdala response to masked emotional faces in depressed subjects resolves with antidepressant treatment: An fMRI study. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2001;50:651–658. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(01)01263-X. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
25. Beevers C.G., Clasen P., Stice E., Schnyer D. Depression symptoms and cognitive control of emotion cues: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroscience*. 2010;167:97–103. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.01.047. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]



26. Young K.D., Drevets W.C., Dantzer R., Teague T.K., Bodurka J., Savitz J. Kynurenone pathway metabolites are associated with hippocampal activity during autobiographical memory recall in patients with depression. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 2016;56:335–342. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.04.007. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
27. Gotlib I.H., Joormann J. Cognition and depression: Current status and future directions. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 2010;6:285–312. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131305. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
28. Devinsky O., Morrell M.J., Vogt B.A. Contributions of anterior cingulate cortex to behavior. *Brain.* 1995;118:279–306. doi: 10.1093/brain/118.1.279. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
29. Moran J.M., Macrae C.N., Heatherton T.F., Wyland C.L., Kelley W.M. Neuroanatomical evidence for distinct cognitive and affective components of self. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2006;18:1586–1594. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2006.18.9.1586. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
30. Simon R., Engström M., Knowland D., Lim B.K. The default mode network as a biomarker for monitoring the therapeutic effects of meditation. *Front Psychol.* 2015;9:776. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00776. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
31. Greicius M.D., Flores B.H., Menon V., Glover G.H., Solvason H.B., Kenna H., Reiss A.L., Schatzberg A.F. Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression: Abnormally increased contributions from subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2007;62:429–437. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.09.020. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
32. Berman M.G., Peltier S., Nee D.E., Kross E., Deldin P.J., Jonides J. Depression, rumination and the default network. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2011;6:548–555. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsq080. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
33. Li B., Liu L., Friston K.J., Shen H., Wang L., Zeng L.L., Hu D. A treatment-resistant default mode subnetwork in major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2013;74:48–54. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.11.007. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
34. Ho T.C., Connolly C.G., Henje Blom E., LeWinn K.Z., Strigo I.A., Paulus M.P., Frank G., Max J.E., Wu J., Chan M., et al. Emotion-dependent functional connectivity of the default mode network in adolescent depression. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2015;78:635–646. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.09.002. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
35. Zhang J., Wang J., Wu Q., Kuang W., Huang X., He Y., Gong Q. Disrupted brain connectivity networks in drug-naïve, first-episode major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2011;70:334–342. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.05.018. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
36. Belleau E.L., Taubitz L.E., Larson C.L. Imbalance of default mode and regulatory networks during externally focused processing in depression. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2015;10:744–751. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsu117. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
37. Lemogne C., le Bastard G., Mayberg H., Volle E., Bergouignan L., Lehéricy S., Allilaire J.F., Fossati P. In search of the depressive self: Extended medial prefrontal network during selfreferential processing in major depression. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2009;4:305–312. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsp008. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
38. Zamoscik V., Huffziger S., Ebner-Priemer U., Kuehner C., Kirsch P. Increased involvement of the parahippocampal gyri in a sad mood predicts future depressive symptoms. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2014;9:2034–2040. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsu006. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
39. Nixon N.L., Liddle P.F., Nixon E., Worwood G., Liotti M., Palaniyappan L. Biological vulnerability to depression: Linked structural and functional brain network findings. *Br. J. Psychiatry.* 2014;204:283–289. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.113.129965. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

Feedback

40. Hamilton J.P., Farmer M., Fogelman P., Gotlib I.H. Depressive Rumination, the Default-Mode Network, and the Dark Matter of Clinical Neuroscience. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2015;78:224–230. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.02.020. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
41. Knowland D., Lim B.K. Circuit-based frameworks of depressive behaviors: The role of reward circuitry and beyond. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2018 doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2017.12.010. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
42. Fu C.H., Williams S.C., Cleare A.J., Scott J., Mitterschiffthaler M.T., Walsh N.D., Donaldson C., Suckling J., Andrew C., Steiner H., et al. Neural responses to sad facial expressions in major depression following cognitive behavioral therapy. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2008;64:505–512. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.04.033. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
43. Ritchey M., Dolcos F., Eddington K.M., Strauman T.J., Cabeza R. Neural correlates of emotional processing in depression: Changes with cognitive behavioral therapy and predictors of treatment response. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 2011;45:577–587. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.09.007. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
44. Yoshimura S., Okamoto Y., Onoda K., Matsunaga M., Okada G., Kunisato Y., Yoshino A., Ueda K., Suzuki S. Cognitive behavioral therapy for depression changes medial prefrontal and ventral anterior cingulate cortex activity associated with self-referential processing. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2014;9:487–493. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst009. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
45. Yang Z., Oathes D.J., Linn K.A., Bruce S.E., Satterthwaite T.D., Cook P.A., Satchell E.K., Shou H., Sheline Y.I. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Is Associated With Enhanced Cognitive Control Network Activity in Major Depression and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neuroimaging*. 2018;3:311–319. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.006. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
46. Sankar A., Scott J., Paszkiewicz A., Giampietro V.P., Steiner H., Fu C.H. Neural effects of cognitive-behavioural therapy on dysfunctional attitudes in depression. *Psychol. Med.* 2015;45:1425–33. doi: 10.1017/S0033291714002529. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
47. Campbell-Sills L., Simmons A.N., Lovero K.L., Rochlin A.A., Paulus M.P., Stein M.B. Functioning of neural systems supporting emotion regulation in anxiety-prone individuals. *Neuroimage*. 2011;54:689–696. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.07.041. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
48. Drevets W.C., Savitz J., Trimble M. The subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in mood disorders. *CNS Spectr.* 2008;13:663–681. doi: 10.1017/S1092852900013754. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
49. Delaveau P., Jabourian M., Lemogne C., Guionnet S., Bergouignan L., Fossati P. Brain effects of antidepressants in major depression: A meta-analysis of emotional processing studies. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2011;130:66–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2010.09.032. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
50. Rubin-Falcone H., Weber J., Kishon R., Ochsner K., Delaparte L., Doré B., Zanderigo F., Oquendo M.A., Mann J.J., Miller J.M. Longitudinal effects of cognitive behavioral therapy for depression on the neural correlates of emotion regulation. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging*. 2018;271:82–90. doi: 10.1016/j.psychresns.2017.11.002. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
51. Dichter G.S., Felder J.N., Smoski M.J. The effects of Brief Behavioral Activation Therapy for Depression on cognitive control in affective contexts: An fMRI investigation. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2010;126:236–244. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2010.03.022. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
52. Dichter G.S., Felder J.N., Petty C., Bizzell J., Ernst M., Smoski M.J. The effects of psychotherapy on neural responses to rewards in major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2009;66:886–897. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.06.021. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]



53. Siegle G.J., Thompson W.K., Collier A., Berman S.R., Feldmiller J., Thase M.E., Friedman E.S. Toward clinically useful neuroimaging in depression treatment prognostic utility of subgenual cingulate activity for determining depression outcome in cognitive therapy across studies, scanners, and patient characteristics. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry*. 2012;69:913–924. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2012.65. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
54. Mayberg H.S. Modulating dysfunctional limbic-cortical circuits in depression: Towards development of brain-based algorithms for diagnosis and optimised treatment. *Br. Med. Bull.* 2003;65:193–207. doi: 10.1093/bmb/65.1.193. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
55. Cooney R.E., Joormann J., Atlas L.Y., Eugene F., Gotlib I.H. Remembering the good times: Neural correlates of affect regulation. *Neuroreport*. 2007;18:1771–1774. doi: 10.1097/WNR.0b013e3282f16db4. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
56. Werner N.S., Meindl T., Materne J., Engel R.R., Huber D., Riedel M., Reiser M., Hennig-Fast K. Functional MRI study of memory-related brain regions in patients with depressive disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2009;119:124–131. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.03.003. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
57. Shou H., Yang Z., Satterthwaite T.D., Cook P.A., Bruce S.E., Shinohara R.T., Rosenberg B., Sheline Y.I. Cognitive behavioral therapy increases amygdala connectivity with the cognitive control network in both MDD and PTSD. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2017;14:464–470. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2017.01.030. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
58. Satterthwaite T.D., Cook P.A., Bruce S.E., Conway C., Mikkelsen E., Satchell E., Vandekar S.N., Durbin T., Shinohara R.T., Sheline Y.I. Dimensional depression severity in women with major depression and post-traumatic stress disorder correlates with fronto-amygdalar hypoconnectivity. *Mol. Psychiatry*. 2016;21:894–902. doi: 10.1038/mp.2015.149. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
59. Yoshimura S., Okamoto Y., Matsunaga M., Onoda K., Okada G., Kunisato Y., Yoshino A., Ueda K., Suzuki S.I., Yamawaki S. Cognitive behavioral therapy changes functional connectivity between medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices. *J. Affect. Disord.* 2017;208:610–614. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.10.017. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
60. Sheline Y.I., Barch D.M., Price J.L., Rundle M.M., Vaishnavi S.N., Snyder A.Z., Mintun M.A., Wang S., Coalson R.S., Raichle M.E. The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2009;106:1942–1947. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812686106. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
61. Sanacora G., Fenton L.R., Fasula M.K., Rothman D.L., Levin Y., Krystal J.H., Mason G.F. Cortical gamma-aminobutyric acid concentrations in depressed patients receiving cognitive behavioral therapy. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2006;59:284–286. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.07.015. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
62. Sanacora G., Mason G.F., Rothman D.L., Hyder F., Ciarcia J.J., Ostroff R.B., Berman R.M., Krystal J.H. Increased cortical GABA concentrations in depressed patients receiving ECT. *Am. J. Psychiatry*. 2003;160:577–579. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.3.577. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
63. Sanacora G., Mason G.F., Rothman D.L., Krystal J.H. Increased occipital cortex GABA concentrations in depressed patients after therapy with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Am. J. Psychiatry*. 2002;159:663–665. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.4.663. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
64. Li Y., Jakary A., Gillung E., Eisendrath S., Nelson S.J., Mukherjee P., Luks T. Evaluating metabolites in patients with major depressive disorder who received mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and healthy controls using short echo MRSI at 7 Tesla. *Magn. Reson. Mater. Phys. Biol. Med.* 2016;29:523–533. doi: 10.1007/s10334-016-0526-7. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]



65. Chen L.P., Dai H.Y., Dai Z.Z., Xu C.T., Wu R.H. Anterior cingulate cortex and cerebellar hemisphere neurometabolite changes in depression treatment: A 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2014;68:357–364. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12138. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
66. Goldapple K., Segal Z., Garson C., Lau M., Bieling P., Kennedy S., Mayberg H. Modulation of cortical-limbic pathways in major depression: Treatment-specific effects of cognitive behavior therapy. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 2004;61:34–41. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.34. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
67. Kennedy S.H., Konarski J.Z., Segal Z.V., Lau M.A., Bieling P.J., McIntyre R.S., Mayberg H.S. Differences in brain glucose metabolism between responders to CBT and venlafaxine in a 16-week randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Psychiatry.* 2007;164:778–788. doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.5.778. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
68. Tiger M., Rück C., Forsberg A., Varrone A., Lindefors N., Halldin C., Farde L., Lundberg J. Reduced 5-HT(1B) receptor binding in the dorsal brain stem after cognitive behavioural therapy of major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;223:164–170. doi: 10.1016/j.psychresns.2014.05.011. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
69. Hornung J.P. The human raphe nuclei and the serotonergic system. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 2003;26:331–343. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2003.10.002. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
70. Neumaier J.F., Root D.C., Hamblin M.W. Chronic fluoxetine reduces serotonin transporter mRNA and 5-HT1B mRNA in a sequential manner in the rat dorsal raphe nucleus. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 1996;15:515–522. doi: 10.1016/S0893-133X(96)00095-4. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
71. Tork I. Anatomy of the serotonergic system. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1990;600:9–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1990.tb16870.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
72. Amsterdam J.D., Newberg A.B., Newman C.F., Shults J., Wintering N., Soeller I. Change over time in brain serotonin transporter binding in major depression: Effects of therapy measured with [(123)I]-ADAM SPECT. *J. Neuroimaging.* 2013;23:469–476. doi: 10.1111/jon.12035. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
73. Newberg A.B., Plössl K., Mozley P.D., Stubbs J.B., Wintering N., Udeshi M., Alavi A., Kauppinen T., Kung H.F. Biodistribution and imaging with (123)I-ADA M: A serotonin transporter imaging agent. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2004;45:834–841. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
74. Malison R.T., Price L.H., Berman R., van Dyck C.H., Pelton G.H., Carpenter L., Sanacora G., Owens M.J., Nemeroff C.B., Rajeevan N., et al. Reduced brain serotonin transporter availability in major depression as measured by [123I]-2 beta-carbomethoxy-3 beta-(4-iodophenyl)tropamine and single photon emission computed tomography. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 1998;44:1090–1098. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(98)00272-8. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
75. Parsey R.V., Hastings R.S., Oquendo M.A., Huang Y.Y., Simpson N., Arcement J., Huang Y., Ogden R.T., Van Heertum R.L., Arango V., et al. Lower serotonin transporter binding potential in the human brain during major depressive episodes. *Am. J. Psychiatry.* 2006;163:52–58. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.163.1.52. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
76. Joensuu M., Tolmunen T., Saarinen P.I., Tiihonen J., Kuikka J., Ahola P., Vanninen R., Lehtonen J. Reduced midbrain serotonin transporter availability in drug-naïve patients with depression measured by SERT-specific [(123)I] nor-beta-CIT SPECT imaging. *Psychiatr. Res.* 2007;154:125–131. doi: 10.1016/j.psychresns.2006.08.001. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
77. Joensuu M., Lehto S.M., Tolmunen T., Saarinen P.I., Valkonen-Korhonen M., Vanninen R., Ahola P., Tiihonen J., Kuikka J., Pesonen U., et al. Serotonin-transporter-linked promoter region polymorphism and serotonin transporter binding in drug-naïve patients with major depression. *Psychiatr. Clin. Neurosci.* 2010;64:387–393. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2010.02111.x. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

Feedback

78. Ruhé H.G., Booij J., Reitsma J.B., Schene A.H. Serotonin transporter binding with [123I]beta-CIT SPECT in major depressive disorder versus controls: Effect of season and gender. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging.* 2009;36:841–849. doi: 10.1007/s00259-008-1057-x. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
79. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry.* 1960;23:56–62. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.23.1.56. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
80. Maust D., Cristancho M., Gray L., Rushing S., Tjoa C., Thase M.E. Psychiatric rating scales. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 2012;106:227–237. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
81. Edelstein B.A., Drozdick L.W., Ciliberti C.M. Assessment of depression and bereavement in older adults. In: Lichtenberg P.A., editor. *Handbook of Assessment in Clinical Gerontology*. Elsevier Academic Press; San Diego, CA, USA: 2010. pp. 3–43. [[Google Scholar](#)]
82. Beck J. *Cognitive Therapy: Basics and Beyond*. Guilford Press; New York, NY, USA: 1995. [[Google Scholar](#)]
83. Andersson G., Bergstrom J., Hollandare F., Carlbring P., Kaldo V., Ekselius L. Internet-based self-help for depression: Randomised controlled trial. *Br. J. Psychiatry.* 2005;187:456–461. doi: 10.1192/bjp.187.5.456. [[PubMed](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
84. Segal Z., Williams J.M., Teasdale J.D. *Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Depression: A New Approach to Preventing Relapse*. Guilford Press; New York, NY, USA: 2002. [[Google Scholar](#)]
85. Dougherty D.D., Rauch S.L., Rosenbaum J.F. *Essentials of Neuroimaging for Clinical Practice*. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC, USA: 2004. [[Google Scholar](#)]

